



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION ?	NO. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,909		12/28/1999	RICHARD D. MARRY	E-954	2919
919	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
PITNEY	BOWES I	NC.	NGUYEN, TAN D		
35 WATERVIEW DRIVE P.O. BOX 3000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MSC 26-22				3629	
SHELTON, CT 06484-8000				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/474,909	MARRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tan Dean D. Nguyen	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Au	igust 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
•							

Application/Control Number: 09/474,909

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 In view of the Interview with Mr. Christopher Capelli in 07-2004 and Appeal Brief filed on 08-04-2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.
 The rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 calls for a method of calculating sorting costs for an incoming mail sorting apparatus but the body of the claim fails to show how the sorting costs are calculated or determined. The current last step (e) is insufficient to carry out the scope of the claimed invention. To determine the sorting cost, conventionally one would have to know what are the totat costs associated with operating the

Application/Control Number: 09/474,909

Art Unit: 3629

mail sorting apparatus, i.e. maintenance cost, operating cost, etc., and divide that cost by the number of mails processed to obtain the sorting cost / mail piece. However, it appears from the specification, pages 1-3, that the scope of the claim deals with calculation/determination of mail or mailroom costs for incoming mails for charge back purpose to various departments of the company given a predetermined unit price cost for each type of mailpiece. Also, it appears that the "a predetermined unit price of each type of mailpiece" is the sorting cost by the OCR for each mailpiece determined by the management. Therefore, the current title of the preamble appears to be misleading and should be corrected for meaningful examination purpose. Note also on page 2 of the specification or "Background of the invention" where the applicants mentioned problems associated with the current methods for determining the allocation of mailroom or mail usage cost for each department and the need for improving the accuracy for chargeback of the departments (lines 18-20), therefore, it appears that the claimed invention will improve the accuracy of determining the allocation of mailroom or mail usage cost for each department.

4. Claim 1 calls for a method of calculating sorting costs for an incoming mail sorting apparatus but the last step (e) <u>fails</u> to show how to calculate the sorting cost since using the piece count stored in association with the corresponding addressee is insufficient. The phrase "calculating incoming sorting cost information" is vague and indefinite. What information? It appears from the specification page 3, lines 15-20, Fig. 3, element 134, that predetermined <u>cost</u> for each <u>type</u> of mailpiece is required to calculate the incoming sorting cost.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,909

Art Unit: 3629

Inclusion of this information (134) in step (e) is recommended to overcome the rejection. This phrase appears to be in the original claim filed in 12/28/99.

No claims are allowed.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

5. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiner. As the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining Tech Center, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiner. See MPEP 203.08. The Tech Center clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1113 or http://pair-direct@uspto.gov.

In receiving an Office Action, it becomes apparent that certain documents are missing, e. g. copies of references, Forms PTO 1449, PTO-892, etc., requests for copies should be directed to Tech Center 3600 Customer Service at (703) 306-5771, or e-mail CustomerService3600@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application should be directed to <u>Dean Tan Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-2053</u>. My work schedule is normally Monday through Friday from 7:00 am through 4:30 pm.

Should I be unavailable during my normal working hours, my supervisor John Weiss may be reached at (703) 308-2702. The <u>FAX phone</u> numbers for formal communications concerning this application are <u>(703) 305-7687</u>. Informal communications may be made, following a telephone call to the examiner, by an informal FAX number to be given.

Other possibly helpful telephone numbers are:

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 305-8322 Assignment Branch (703) 308-9287 Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309

Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/8335

Fee Questions (703) 305-5125

Intellectual Property Questions (703) 305-8217
Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282
Terminal Disclaimers (703) 305-8408

Information Help Line 1-800-786-9199

dtn November 1, 2004

DEANT. NGUYEN DEIMARY EXAMINER